UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,108	11/18/2003	Mark A. Alcazar	MS1-1799US	6123
22801 LEE & HAYES	7590 07/18/200 SPLLC	EXAMINER		
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500			HOANG, PHUONG N	
SPOKANE, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2194	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/716,108	ALCAZAR ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	PHUONG N. HOANG	2194			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Ag</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 - 2, 4 - 26 is/are pending in the applitude 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 - 2, 4 - 26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access	vn from consideration. relection requirement.	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/14/08.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 2195

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 - 2, 4 - 26 are pending for examination.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/28/08 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rowe, US patent no. 5,964,836.

Art Unit: 2195

5. **As to claim 1,** Rowe teaches software architecture for executing a navigated-

based application, comprising: a first set of application programming interfaces that

support the execution navigated-based web application within the software architecture

(col. 2 lines 60 - 67); and a second set of application programming interfaces that

support navigation-related activities of the navigated-based application (col. 4 lines 65 –

col. 5 lines 10);

Wherein the navigated-based web application is deployed on a web server and

downloaded to a local computing device from the web server through the network when

executed (col. 2 lines 35 - 65); and

Wherein an instance of the navigated-based web application is created in a

runtime execution environment (col. 2 lines 35 – col. 3 lines 10) during execution and

states of the navigated-based web application are persisted in the instance and made

accessible to the resources of the navigated-based web application by the first and

second sets of application programming interfaces (col. 5 lines 15 - 42).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2195

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 7. Claims 2, 4 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rowe, US patent no. 5,964,836 in view of Bladow, US patent no. 6,115,040.
- 8. **As to claim 2**, Rowe does not explicitly teach wherein the first set of application programming interfaces comprises an OnStartingUp method that includes executable instructions that are executed to load the states of the navigated-based web application when it is being launched.

Bladow teaches wherein the first set of application programming interfaces comprises an OnStartingUp method that includes executable instructions that are executed to load the states of the navigated-based web application when it is being launched (col. 13 lines 1-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Rowe and Bladow's system because Bladow's start() method would load the web-based application to be used for interapplication communications and for providing a reference to a particular application for interoperation (col. 13 lines 35 - 50).

Art Unit: 2195

9. **As to claim 4**, Bladow teaches wherein the first set of application programming interfaces comprises an OnShutDown method that includes executable instructions that are executed when the navigated-based web application is being shut down (stop(), col. 13 lines 35 – 45).

- 10. **As to claim 5**, Bladow teaches wherein the first set of application programming interfaces comprises a Windows collection in which is stored information that identifies one or more windows that are used in connection with the navigated-based web application (col. 6 lines 50 65, col. 13 lines 35 50).
- 11. **As to claims 6 7**, Rowe teaches wherein the first set of application programming interfaces comprises a Resources property that specifies resources that apply to pages within an extent of the navigated-based web application (col. 5 lines 1 50, col. 6 lines 25 35).
- 12. **As to claim 8**, Bladow teaches wherein the second set of application programming interfaces comprises a StartUpURI property that specifies a resource to which the navigated-based web application navigates upon being launched (col. 5).
- 13. As to claims 9 10, Bladow teaches wherein the resource comprises a markup based page (col. 8 lines 1 25).

Art Unit: 2195

14. **As to claims 11 - 13**, Rowe teaches wherein the second set of application programming interfaces comprises a set of events related to the occurrence of a navigation by the navigated-based web application (col. 5 lines 1 - 5).

- 15. As to claim 14, Bladow teaches wherein the set of events comprises a
 NavigationError event indicative of the occurrence of an error during the navigation (col.
 6 lines 55 67).
- 16. **As to claim 15**, Bladow teaches wherein the set of events comprises a NavigationProgress event that is raised periodically during the navigation to enable information about the navigation to be discerned (col. 6 lines 55 67).
- 17. **As to claim 16,** Rowe teaches software architecture for executing a navigated-based application, comprising an application programming interfaces that support the execution navigated-based web application within the software architecture (col. 2 lines 60 67).

Wherein the navigated-based web application is deployed on a web server and downloaded to a local computing device from the web server through the network when executed (col. 2 lines 35 – 65); and

Wherein an instance of the navigated-based web application is created in a runtime execution environment (col. 2 lines 35 - col. 3 lines 10) during execution and states of the navigated-based web application are persisted in the instance and made accessible to the resources of the navigated-based web application by the first and second sets of application programming interfaces (col. 5 lines 15 - 42).

Rowe does not explicitly teach wherein the first set of application programming interfaces comprises an OnStartingUp method that includes executable instructions that are executed to load the states of the navigated-based web application when it is being launched, and a ShutDown method that includes executable instructions that are executed when the navigated-based web application is being shut down.

Bladow teaches wherein the first set of application programming interfaces comprises an OnStartingUp method that includes executable instructions that are executed to load the states of the navigated-based web application when it is being launched (col. 13 lines 1 - 45); and method that includes executable instructions that are executed when the navigated-based web application is being shut down (stop(), col. $13 \times 35 - 45$).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Rowe and Bladow's system because

Art Unit: 2195

Bladow's start() method would load the web-based application to be used for interapplication communications and for providing a reference to a particular application for interoperation (col. 13 lines 35 - 50).

- 18. **As to claim 17**, Bladow teaches Windows collection in which is stored information that identifies one or more windows that are used in connection with the navigated-based web application (col. 13 lines 20 50).
- 19. **As to claim 18**, Rowe a Resources property that specifies resources that apply to pages within an extent of the navigated-based web application (col. 5 lines 5 35).
- 20. **As to claim 19**, Rowe teaches a computer-readable medium having computer-executable components for supporting the execution of an application, the components comprising:

an application programming interface exposed by the navigated-based web application (col. 2 lines 60 - 67), the application programming interface including:

a Properties collection in which is stored information about a state of the software application during execution (col. 5 lines 45 - 60);

Art Unit: 2195

Wherein the navigated-based web application is deployed on a web server and downloaded to a local computing device from the web server through the network when executed (col. 2 lines 35 – 65); and

Wherein an instance of the navigated-based web application is created in a runtime execution environment (col. 2 lines 35 - col. 3 lines 10) during execution and states of the navigated-based web application are persisted in the instance and made accessible to the resources of the navigated-based web application by the first and second sets of application programming interfaces (col. 5 lines 15 - 42).

Rowe does not explicitly teach a StartUpURI property that specifies a resource to which the software application navigates upon being launched.

Bladow teaches wherein the first set of application programming interfaces comprises an StartUpURI property that includes executable instructions that are executed to load the states of the navigated-based web application when it is being launched (col. 13 lines 1-45)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Rowe and Bladow's system because Bladow's start() would load the web-based application to be used for inter-application communications and for providing a reference to a particular application for interoperation (col. 13 lines 35 - 50).

21. As to claims 20 - 26, see rejection for claims 9 - 15 above.

Art Unit: 2195

Response to Arguments

22. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHUONG N. HOANG whose telephone number is (571)272-3763. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng A. An can be reached on 571-272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2195

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Meng-Ai An/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

Ph July 15, 2008